BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
IN THE MATTER OF:)
ELGIN, JOLIET AND EASTERN) RAILWAY COMPANY,) T10-0109
PETITIONER,)
V.)
CITY OF CHICAGO HEIGHTS,) ILLINOIS,
RESPONDENT.
Chicago, Illinois
September 29, 2010
Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.
BEFORE:
Judge Duggan, Administrative Law Judge
APPEARANCES:
MR. JEREMY BERMAN 29 North Wacker Drive, Suite 920 Chicago Illinois 60606
Chicago, Illinois 60606 Appearing on behalf of the EJ&E
MR. DANIEL POWERS,
Illinois Commerce Commission 527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, Illinois 62701 Appearing for Staff
SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by CARLA L. CAMILIERE, CSR
License No. 084-003637

1	$\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X}$					
2	Witnesses	Dimost	Cmo a a	Re-		
3	<u>Witnesses:</u>	Direct	CIOSS	arrect	CIOSS	Examiner
4	Ray Baker	5 10	1	L 2		13
5						
6						
7						
8						
9		<u>E</u>	<u>X</u> <u>H</u> <u>I</u>	<u>B</u> <u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>	5	
10	Number	For	Identi	ficatio	on_	In Evidence
11	Exhibit A Exhibit B		12 12			18 18
12	EXHIDIC B		12			10
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

- 1 JUDGE DUGGAN: Pursuant to the authority vested
- 2 in me by the Illinois Commerce Commission by the
- 3 State of Illinois, I call Docket T10-0109 for
- 4 hearing.
- 5 May I have the appearances for the
- 6 record.
- 7 MR. BERMAN: Jeremy Berman on behalf of the
- 8 Elgin Joliet Eastern Railway Company.
- 9 Address is 29 North Wacker Drive,
- 10 Suite 920, Chicago, Illinois. Phone number is (312)
- 11 252-1500.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: Mr. Powers.
- 13 MR. POWERS: Daniel Powers, staff of the
- 14 Illinois Commerce Commission, 527 East Capitol
- Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701. Phone (847)
- 16 516-0733.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. So we're here on Elgin,
- 18 Joliet & Eastern Railway own's petition to
- 19 reconstruct a railroad owned bridge that carries the
- 20 railroad's tracks over grade separation at Butler
- 21 Street in Chicago Heights.
- We are also asking for a variance from

- 1 the vertical clearance from the administrative rule
- 2 requirements.
- 3 And we received -- or the Commission
- 4 had received a file, a letter on City of Chicago
- 5 Heights stationary, office of the mayor stating that
- 6 it has reviewed CN's proposed project for bridgework
- 7 at Butler Street in Chicago Heights and has no
- 8 objections.
- 9 Mr. Berman, can you clarify who CN is.
- 10 MR. BERMAN: CN is the parent corporation of
- 11 the EJ&E. EJ&E is a wholly owned, but independently
- 12 owned subsidiary of CN.
- 13 JUDGE DUGGAN: Some of your words I didn't
- 14 catch. I heard you say the CN is the parent company
- of somebody.
- 16 MR. BERMAN: The EJ&E, Elgin Joliet Eastern.
- 17 JUDGE DUGGAN: For me and my diction
- 18 understanding down here, if you can -- you speak
- 19 pretty fast when you say that.
- 20 Since I'm not familiar with it, I'm
- 21 not even able to guess what you said, so.
- Mr. Berman, you want to call your

- 1 witness.
- 2 MR. BERMAN: Yes, the railroad would call
- 3 Mr. Ray Baker.
- 4 (Witness sworn.)
- 5 RAY BAKER,
- 6 called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 7 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY
- 10 MR. BERMAN:
- 11 Q Could you please state your name for the
- 12 record.
- 13 A Raymond C. Baker.
- 14 Q And who is your current employer?
- 15 A The EJ&E Railway Company.
- 16 Q And what is your position there?
- 17 A I'm the senior manager of engineering.
- 18 Q Can you briefly describe your duties as the
- 19 senior manager of engineering?
- 20 A Yes, I work on the EJ&E integration
- 21 projects that are occurring in and around the City of
- 22 Chicago.

- 1 Q And are you familiar with the EJ&E
- 2 operations in Chicago Heights?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Can you briefly explain those operations.
- 5 A Currently, the EJ&E Railway Company has a
- 6 two track, main track that operates in an east/west
- 7 direction and crosses Butler street as a grade
- 8 separation.
- 9 The railroad structures, the bridges
- 10 carry our traffic over the highway traffic which
- 11 proceeds underneath Butler Street in basically a
- 12 north/south direction.
- Q And are you familiar with a project to
- 14 reconstruct this bridge at Butler Street?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Mr. Baker, I'm going to show you what was
- 17 attached to Petitioner's Petition as Exhibit A.
- Do you recognize this exhibit?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Can you explain what is shown on this
- 21 exhibit?
- 22 A This shows the existing conditions and the

- 1 elevation up in the left-hand upper corner. It shows
- 2 the section as it exists today where there's a
- 3 multi-track structure over the grade separation at
- 4 Butler Street.
- 5 It shows the new proposed elevation in
- 6 the final configuration where a new structure at
- 7 basically the existing clearance would be placed --
- 8 would be replaced on the existing abutments.
- 9 Then it shows in the Section BB, how
- 10 the two new structures would be carried over Butler
- 11 Street looking over from an east/west direction or
- 12 basically a perpendicular to the highway.
- 13 Then on the second page of that
- 14 exhibit, which just shows the plan view, and you can
- 15 see where that's looking down at the bridge that it
- 16 basically stays on the existing foundations and
- 17 piers, and basically there's no change to the
- 18 vertical and horizontal dimensions of Butler Street
- 19 which travels perpendicular to the bridges.
- 20 O Does this exhibit show the work that EJ&E
- 21 plans to do at the bridge?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q Are you familiar with the clearances
- 2 underneath the bridge?
- 3 A Yes. Currently, the vertical clearance for
- 4 highway traffic is posted at 12 feet.
- 5 Q And based on your experience, is it
- 6 possible for the railroad to improve that clearance?
- 7 A Based upon this current location, it's not
- 8 practical for us to improve the vertical clearance at
- 9 this location.
- 11 A The road is basically depressed today.
- The railroad is on a grade. Butler
- 13 Street to the north comes off of a road named East
- 14 End Avenue. That road to the north of the railroad
- which runs parallel to the railroad primarily serves
- 16 a single industry.
- 17 Then the road goes underneath the
- 18 railroad, and the road basically goes down hill
- 19 towards the railroad in an easterly direction.
- 20 And there is just not sufficient grade
- 21 changes to allow for additional reduction in vertical
- 22 height of the road.

- 1 O There's currently a pedestrian sidewalk
- 2 underneath the bridge; is that correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And can you explain what the EJ&E plans to
- 5 do with that sidewalk?
- 6 A Long term, we have a working relationship
- 7 with the City of Chicago Heights where we are going
- 8 to work with them for them to relocate that existing
- 9 pedestrian walkway, which is not used at this time
- 10 over into a new grade separate location that would
- 11 cross the EJ&E Railway Company at a different
- 12 location in the same vicinity.
- 13 Q And why is this bridge reconstruction
- 14 project necessary?
- 15 A This is part of our ongoing maintenance
- 16 program to replace structures that like any highway
- 17 or railroad authority that have been identified for
- 18 renewal, so it's a maintenance project.
- 19 O How does the EJ&E plan to pay for this
- 20 project?
- 21 A This is a self-financed project. And it
- 22 will all be paid for with funds from the railroad.

- 1 MR. BERMAN: Thank you. That's all the
- 2 questions I have.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. I have a few.
- 4 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 5 BY
- JUDGE DUGGAN:
- 7 Q Mr. Baker, I'm sure everybody in the
- 8 industry knows that the reference to CN includes
- 9 Elgin Joliet and Eastern Railway, just seeing the
- 10 Chicago Heights letter refers to CNN. I would like
- 11 to have that on the record that CN would include
- 12 this.
- 13 Have you seen the Chicago Heights
- 14 letter? Do you have it available to you?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 O And who made that communication with the
- 17 City of Chicago Heights?
- 18 A This was made by our government affairs
- 19 personnel.
- Q Okay.
- 21 A And not Mr. Berman.
- MR. BERMAN: No, your Honor, it was not me.

- 1 BY JUDGE DUGGAN:
- 2 Q Was that communication done with your
- 3 knowledge, Mr. Baker?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q And your government personnel is the person
- 6 who received this back or they sent it directly to
- 7 the Commerce Commission, it looks like?
- 8 A Yes, sir, and they provided me with a copy.
- 9 Q Who is they?
- 10 A Jim Keedaras, who is our government
- 11 affairs.
- 12 Q Could you spell that.
- 13 A K-e-e-d-a-r-a-s
- 14 O So he provided it to you.
- 15 A Yes.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: We should probably get that
- 17 marked as an exhibit, so that it's part of the
- 18 record. We will keep Exhibit A to attach to the
- 19 exhibits of the hearing.
- 20 Do you have a copy of that,?
- MR. BERMAN: Yes, I do, your Honor.

22

- 1 (Whereupon, EJ&E Deposition
- 2 Exhibit Nos. A and B was marked
- for identification.)
- 4 MR. BERMAN: He has a copy of Exhibit B in
- 5 front of him.
- 6 JUDGE DUGGAN: Do you want to go ahead and have
- 7 him identify that, Mr. Berman.
- 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY
- MR. BERMAN: .
- 11 Q Do you recognize the letter that's been
- 12 marked as Exhibit B?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 O Can you explain what that letter is?
- 15 A This is a letter to the Illinois Commerce
- 16 Commission indicating that the City of Chicago
- 17 Heights has reviewed our plans and maintenance
- 18 requirements for our bridge at Butler Street and has
- 19 no objection to the work that we are proposing to do
- there.
- 21 And the EJ&E is an independent company
- owned by the CN at this location, and the CN is

- 1 the -- is our parent company, and they're the ones
- 2 that provide the engineering and the funding for this
- 3 carrier project.
- 4 JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. Thank you.
- If you could also show him what's been
- 6 marked for evidence purposes as Exhibit A also.
- 7 BY MR. BERMAN:
- 8 Q Mr. Baker, I'm showing you what's been
- 9 marked as Exhibit A at this hearing.
- 10 Is this the same exhibit that you were
- 11 referring to earlier that was attached to EJ&E's
- 12 petition?
- 13 A Yes, sir. This is an exhibit showing
- 14 existing conditions and proposed conditions.
- 15 JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. Thanks.
- 16 CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION
- 17 BY
- JUDGE DUGGAN:
- 19 Q Now, looking at Exhibit A, there is two
- 20 pages, correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q And the first page, there's four diagrams,

- 1 correct?
- 2 A That's correct, sir.
- 3 Q Okay. And is the top set what's existing
- 4 and the bottom set what's proposed?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Then on Page 2, that's the proposed final
- 8 looking down at it or planned view.
- 9 Q All right. Now, you said you're removing a
- 10 pedestrian walkway?
- 11 A Long term, the intent with us in Chicago
- 12 Heights is to remove the existing pedestrian walkway
- 13 that you can see denoted in the picture in the upper
- 14 left-hand corner.
- 15 Q The two parallels with the crossbars up at
- 16 the top, that's the railroad?
- 17 A If you look at the section line AA, there
- is a dimension, 7-foot. If you can find that
- dimension of 7-foot, that's the existing PED walkway,
- 20 elevated, PED walkway.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A So when we talk about what the existing

- 1 conditions underneath there, today there is basically
- what I would call a bay or a lane for the traffic.
- 3 Then, of course, there is this existing pedestrian
- 4 walkway, which at this time isn't in use; however,
- 5 our work doesn't affect that. We are going to replace
- 6 the structure above it that carries the railroad.
- 7 Q When you say it's not in use, you mean it's
- 8 blocked off?
- 9 A I know they're not using it. I don't know
- if it's blocked off by what I would consider
- 11 barricades or something.
- 12 Q But when you say you know they're not using
- 13 it --
- 14 A There is no evidence that anybody is using
- 15 that as access on both sides of the walkway, that
- 16 there is no maintenance occurring by the City of
- 17 Chicago Heights.
- 18 Q You say it's not going to be removed in the
- 19 short-term repair or reconstruction?
- 20 A That's correct. The short-term, our plan
- 21 is to renew the bridge structure that carries the
- 22 railroad over the road and walkway.

- 1 Q Then what is the long-term?
- 2 A The long-term is the work with the City of
- 3 Chicago Heights to relocate the pedestrian walkway on
- 4 a new alignment underneath the railroad as a grade
- 5 separated walkway.
- 6 Q Okay. So when you do remove it, you're
- 7 going to put in another one?
- 8 A We are going to work with them to do that,
- 9 that's correct.
- 10 Q Okay. You were asked about whether you
- 11 could meet the Commission requirements for clearance
- 12 and you stated that, apparently, there was not the
- 13 room necessary to make the necessary grade changes.
- 14 Were you discussing both of the
- 15 roadway and the railroad when you said that?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 JUDGE DUGGAN: Mr. Powers?
- 18 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 19 BY
- 20 MR. POWERS:
- 21 Q Just to clarify, on Exhibit A, the first
- 22 page, looking at what is labeled as proposed

- 1 elevation, and the dimension of 25 feet, I guess,
- 2 from abutment to abutment, this is a long-term
- 3 improvement?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Okay. So, basically, existing elevation is
- 6 what is also going to be the proposed elevation in
- 7 this improvement?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Okay. Are there any existing warning signs
- 10 either in advance of the structure or on the
- 11 structure itself notifying the motoring public that
- 12 there is a substandard vertical clearance?
- 13 A On this structure, the clearance is posted.
- 14 I am not aware and have no knowledge if there is
- 15 advanced warning signs in either direction. There may
- 16 be, but I'm not aware of it.
- 17 Q And do you know -- how big or what color
- 18 the existing posted sign on the structure is?
- 19 A I do not have a dimension of the sign. The
- 20 sign is yellow with back lettering.
- Q Okay.
- 22 MR. POWERS: I have no further questions, your

- 1 Honor.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: What is the vertical clearance
- 3 shown in the diagrams of Exhibit A.
- 4 THE WITNESS: 12 feet, sir.
- 5 JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay.
- 6 Mr. Berman?
- 7 MR. BERMAN: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 8 JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. And you want to offer
- 9 Exhibits A and B into evidence.
- 10 MR. BERMAN: Yes, I would like to offer
- 11 Exhibits A and B into evidence.
- 12 JUDGE DUGGAN: Any objection, Mr. Powers?
- MR. POWERS: No objections.
- 14 JUDGE DUGGAN: Exhibits A and B are admitted.
- 15 If you could put them in an envelope to me or find
- someone to get them down here.
- 17 (Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. A and B
- 18 were admitted into evidence.)
- 19 JUDGE DUGGAN: Staff still has some
- 20 specifications you want to present to the railroad;
- 21 is that correct.
- MR. POWERS: We can work out agreed order, your

- 1 Honor.
- 2 JUDGE DUGGAN: But you have some specifications
- 3 you would like that you have not discussed with them
- 4 fully?
- 5 MR. POWERS: Some possible signing issues, but
- 6 that's something we can get in the agreed order.
- 7 JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. At this point, we will
- 8 leave it to you to try to workup the agreed order
- 9 rather than myself drafting one?
- 10 MR. POWERS: That's fine.
- MR. BERMAN: That's fine, your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. Any idea of the time
- 13 frame?
- 14 MR. BERMAN: I can draft an agreed order and
- get it to Mr. Powers by the end of the week.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: Apparently, he's going to have
- 17 to talk with you about these other issues.
- 18 MR. BAKER: There is only one other issue, your
- 19 Honor, unless Dan has some other ideas.
- 20 JUDGE DUGGAN: When can you do this? What is
- 21 your time frame, Dan, assuming you get an order in a
- 22 week from Mr. Berman?

- 1 THE WITNESS: I will say at least 30 days as a
- 2 minimum to get something to you.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. Let's go ahead and say --
- 4 I want to have something on my calendar, so it's not
- 5 floating out there.
- 6 MR. POWERS: Okay.
- 7 JUDGE DUGGAN: I can set this at the same time
- 8 as 11/13, and if we have a proposed order, we can
- 9 mark the record heard and taken. I will leave the
- 10 record open.
- Does anyone have any concerns with
- 12 that? That's November 3rd, Dan. That's 5 weeks
- 13 away. The main thing is if you still need time, I
- 14 noted that on the record, and I can set it out. It
- doesn't hem you in. It actually gives you another
- 16 outlet by doing that.
- 17 MR. POWERS: Sure.
- 18 JUDGE DUGGAN: Let's set it for 9:45 on
- 19 November 3rd, 2010.
- 20 And, again, if we are authorized,
- 21 Mr. Berman, to talk to Mr. Powers, if I've got a
- 22 proposed order, no one has to show or Mr. Powers can

- tell me he's going to report on the status and you
- 2 don't have to show up. You don't have to bring
- 3 Mr. Baker or anything, because the only reason we
- 4 would be coming back is if we weren't able to get a
- 5 proposed order and we needed to discuss something.
- 6 MR. BAKER: Your Honor, we are looking to do
- 7 this work this year. Mr. Berman and I will work to
- 8 get Mr. Powers a proposed order so that he can markup
- 9 staff's recommendations, so we can have this back to
- 10 you way before November 3rd for your review.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: I think Mr. Powers is thinking
- of his own work schedule and other obligations is
- 13 what he's concerned about.
- MR. BAKER: I understand, sir.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: With you guys being on the ball,
- 16 we appreciate that.
- 17 THE WITNESS: We are in the position to do the
- 18 work this year if we can obtain the waiver.
- 19 JUDGE DUGGAN: Because the earliest -- this
- 20 will not get to the Commission until --
- MR. BAKER: Whatever date you provide us with
- the waiver is what we are going to shoot for in the

- 1 construction. It just helps us in our planning for
- 2 our activities to having good solid dates for
- 3 scheduling people in.
- 4 So whatever you can do for us, your
- 5 Honor, is appreciated. So if it's November 3rd we
- 6 have to have the proposed order back and approved,
- 7 we'll work to that, and then whatever the Commission
- 8 can do to order the waiver, we are looking forward to
- 9 that opportunity.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: Well, what I was going to say is
- 11 that even right now I think the next Commission date
- 12 I can get it in is three weeks from now. It's like
- 13 October 26th, something like that. Then the next one
- 14 I think is November 4th.
- So you're going to be working in the
- 16 winter. Is that something you can do?
- 17 MR. BAKER: Yes, we would prefer not to, but it
- involves work adjacent to the railroad, but we'll do
- 19 whatever we have to do.
- 20 JUDGE DUGGAN: Right now I couldn't get
- 21 it -- if I submit it today, it wouldn't get out until
- the end of October.

- 1 THE WITNESS: I understand, sir.
- JUDGE DUGGAN: Again, that does affect if we
- 3 don't actually get an order in until November 3rd,
- 4 then you're not going to get, then you have
- 5 Thanksgiving schedules, Christmas schedules, and for
- 6 the Commission, I have to look at their dates, but it
- 7 does present issues.
- 8 Can we separate out the orders for the
- 9 waiver from the other issues, Dan, do you know?
- 10 MR. POWERS: I think we can turn something
- 11 around pretty quickly.
- 12 You're correct in stating about my
- work schedule, we've got other stuff going on, but if
- 14 we turn it around quickly, and I think that it should
- 15 be included in the order, the waiver.
- 16 JUDGE DUGGAN: Okay. Right now, I think if you
- 17 wanted it at the end of October I have to turn it in
- 18 next Wednesday whatever it is, October 6th;
- 19 otherwise, I think the next Commission date is
- 20 roughly November 4th.
- 21 So if you get it to me realistically
- 22 it's probably going to be the November 4th date.

1	So what I have to do I will go
2	ahead and mark this thing heard and taken, so that
3	and we won't have another date, so we don't have any
4	problems with the record being open. All right.
5	With that understanding, then, I will
6	mark the record heard and taken.
7	
8	
9	HEARD AND TAKEN
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	